

#### Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Protection of Personal Information	4
Definitions	4
NICA Safety Report Team	6
Data Section A: 2022 Student-Athlete Injury	8
Data Section B: 2022 Coach Injury Data	19
Limitations	23
Summary and Future Directions	24
Endnotes	27



## INTRODUCTION

#### PREAMBLE:

The National Interscholastic Cycling Association (NICA) is committed to protecting the safety of everyone participating in interscholastic mountain bike programs. To this aim, NICA and its partners at the University of Utah have collaborated to establish the NICA Safety Report. The Safety Report is designed to better understand the types and causes of injuries seen in middle and high school mountain biking, and to pursue data-driven strategies to protect the safety of student-athletes, coaches, officials and fans.

The NICA Safety Report solicits and welcomes input from all stakeholders, including student-athletes, coaches, parents, race staff and others.

After nearly three years of project development, consultation with leading sports epidemiologists around the world, and extensive beta testing, the NICA Safety Report was implemented in the 2018 season. Because of the dedication and commitment of many individuals, the first five years of data collection have been very successful. The NICA Safety Report is the largest and most comprehensive mountain biking injury surveillance system in the world. The NICA Safety Report is also the first sports injury surveillance system to track injuries among coaches. With the knowledge gained from these efforts, NICA is leading the way in making the sport of mountain biking safer, ultimately leading to the protection of student-athletes health and of all others involved in this sport.

This document is the 5th annual official NICA Safety Report and includes data from the 2022 spring and fall leagues. The annual NICA Safety Reports are made publicly available on the NICA website at https://www.nationalmtb.org/safety-reporting/.

"...to pursue data-driven strategies to protect the safety of student-athletes..."

#### Protection of Personal Information:

NICA and its partners take protection of personal information very seriously. All information used in the NICA Safety Report is protected to the greatest degree possible. The NICA Safety Report meets or exceeds all national and local standards for the protection of personal information. Injury incident reports are only visible to NICA national staff, league staff, and NICA's insurance broker. NICA and its partners will never release an individual's personal health information without their formal permission. NICA's partners at the University of Utah never see information that can personally identify individuals; all data is de-identified and analyzed in aggregate, making it impossible to identify any individual in the final analyses.

# **DEFINITIONS**

**Injury Definition**: Injury is defined as any physical event that occurs during a *NICA sanctioned* team practice, race, coaches training session or camp that results in physical harm to the participant significant enough to:

1) Warrant referral to a medical provider

OR

2) Lose time from training or competition beyond the day of injury

OR

3) Miss school or work

Notes regarding Injury Definition:

- If an injury event does not result in an injury that meets one or more of the above criteria, then the injury event should not be entered into the NICA Safety Report database.
- If a rider is seen in the medical tent in the Pit Zone of a race, but otherwise none of the above 3 parameters are met, the injury event does not warrant inclusion in the injury database.

**Injury Event:** An injury event is a single event that causes one or more injuries meeting the above injury definition. In the majority of cases, an injury event is due to a crash or collision while riding. Less commonly in mountain biking, an injury may be the result of chronic overload to a body part; for example, the gradual onset of tendinitis in the knee.

<u>Note regarding Injury Event Definition:</u> A single injury event can result in more than one unique injury. For example, a rider might be involved in a crash that results in both a hip contusion (i.e., bruise) and a fracture of the clavicle (i.e., broken collarbone).

Designated Reporter: The Designated Reporter is the individual from each team who completes the weekly exposure reports and completes the injury report forms. Designated Reporters receive training on how to correctly report injuries.





**Exposure Reporting:** The total amount that an athlete is exposed to the risk of injury is a very important parameter in sports epidemiology. Exposure can be estimated in many different ways. In order to obtain an estimate of how much student-athletes are exposed to risk, the NICA Safety Report asks the Designated Reporters to provide the following information on a weekly basis:

1) How many NICA-sanctioned races, practices and other activities their team participated in each week

AND

2) How many student-athletes participated in each team race, practice and other activities.

This information provides the total number of student-athlete exposures.

**Injury Event Proportion**: The injury proportion is the number of injury events in one year divided by 100 participating riders. The injury proportion is expressed as a percentage.

**Injury Proportion:** The injury proportion is the number of unique injuries in one year divided by 100 participating riders. The injury proportion is expressed as a percentage. Because riders often sustain more than one injury per crash, the injury proportion is higher than the injury event proportion.

Injury Incidence: The injury incidence is the number of injury events per student-athlete exposure. In sports epidemiology, injury incidence is often considered more important than injury proportion because it considers how much a student-athlete is exposed to risk. Injury incidence can be reported in many different ways. Some common examples include:

- The number of student-athlete injuries per 1,000 student-athlete practices plus races;
- The number of student-athlete injuries per 1,000 student-athlete practices;
- The number of student-athlete injuries per 1,000 student-athlete races.

Note regarding exposure and injury incidence: During the first five years (2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022) of the NICA Safety Report, exposure reporting was insufficient to calculate injury incidence with confidence. Therefore, injury incidence is not included in this report. NICA is pursuing ongoing efforts to improve the reporting of. With these efforts, compliance with exposure reporting has increased from ~40% to ~70%, with a goal of reaching 90%.

Student-Athlete: The term student-athlete refers to any youth who has registered for a given season in the NICA Pit Zone with the intent of participating in NICA programs.

Coach: The term coach refers to adults who have registered for a given season in the NICA Pit Zone with the intent to coach for NICA programs.

Category: The term category refers to a group of student athletes defined by grade-level, division, sex, and/or ability. Divisions can differ by state.

### **NICA SAFETY REPORT TEAM**



Chris Spencer NICA Director of Outcomes, Risk and Safety

Chris is a proud NorCal League Alumni. Since 2009, he has worked for the NorCal League and then the NICA national office. Chris has had many roles at NICA with his primary contributions being the development and refinement of the risk management and training programs. The focus of his post-high school education has been economics, project management, counseling, program development, and risk management. Chris brings a wide range of experience including work as a bike mechanic, deckhand-engineer on tug boats, aviation and maritime insurance adjustor, comedian, residential advisor, outside salesman, and marketing representative.



Stuart Willick, MD Professor, Sports Medicine, University of Utah

Stuart Willick, MD is a sports medicine physician who has worked with numerous elite sports organizations, including the University of Utah, the United States Ski and Snowboard Association, US Speedskating, the US Bobsled and Skeleton Federation, USA Climbing and the International Olympic and Paralympic Medical Committees. Dr. Willick helps athletes of all ages recover from their sports injuries and stay healthy and active. His research focus is the prevention of injury and illness in sport.



Dan Cushman, MD Associate Professor, Sports Medicine, University of Utah

Dan Cushman, M.D., is board-certified in both Sports Medicine and Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, and specializes in the care of musculoskeletal injuries in both athletes & non-athletes, musculoskeletal ultrasound, electrodiagnostic medicine, and endurance sports-specific injuries with a particular interest in runners, cyclists, swimmers, and triathletes. He is Director of Sports Medicine Research at the University of Utah.



Sarah Thomson NICA Project Manager

Sarah is a Bay Area native, growing up in Menlo Park and attending UC Santa Cruz where she earned a degree in Marine Biology. She has been a competitive fencer for over 15 years and has worked with fencing clubs and leagues for over 10 years. Sarah was excited to join NICA and bring her extensive experience with fencing tournaments and registration to her new role. In her spare time, she likes to read, in particular murder mysteries.



Masaru Teramoto, PhD, MPH Research Associate Professor, Sports Medicine, University of Utah

Masaru (Masa) Teramoto, PhD, MPH, PStat®, is a Research Associate Professor in the Division of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation at University of Utah. He is a member of the American Statistical Association (ASA), and is an ASA Accredited Professional Statistician®. His research focuses on sports/exercise epidemiology and sports analytics.



Nargis Solis NICA Data Analyst



Meredith Ehn, DO, DPT Assistant Professor Northwestern University Shirley Ryan AbilityLab



Jerel Wilson NICA Senior Training Manager



Julia Lawrence NICA Registration & Administration Manager



Rachel Anders
Medical student, University of Utah



Luke Johnson Research Coordinator, University of Utah





# DATA SECTION A: 2022 STUDENT-ATHLETE INJURY DATA

#### 2022 Student-Athletes Summary

2022 student-athletes summary	n	%
Total n of student-athletes Nationwide:	25,568	
Total n of male student-athletes Nationwide:	19,959	78.06%
Total n of female student-athletes Nationwide:	5,609	21.94%
Total number of student-athletes by Division		
Middle school	8,406	
Freshman	4,186	
Sophomore	914	
Junior varsity	7,729	
Varsity	1,095	
n and % of injured student-athletes Nationwide:	558	2.18%
n and % of injured male student-athletes Nationwide:	427	2.14%
n and % of injured female student-athletes Nationwide:	131	2.34%

Table A1. 2022 student-athlete summary data. The percentages in the bottom 3 rows refer to the number of injury events per 100 student-athletes in each category.

#### **Percent of Injury Events by Race Category**




Figure A1. Percent of injury events by race category. The percentages refer to the number of injured student-athletes per total number of injured student-athletes participating in all categories together. The Varsity Division has the fewest number of participants overall, while the Middle School Division has the greatest number of participants overall.

#### **Injury Proportion by Race Division**




Figure A2. Injury proportion by race category. The thin, vertical black lines are confidence intervals. Where there is no overlap of the confidence intervals between two different bars, it means that the differences between the bars is statistically significant. Riders in the Sophomore Division have the highest injury proportion compared with all other Division.

#### **Student-Athlete Injuries by Body Part**

Which body part(s) did the student- athletes injure?		All student- athletes		Male student- athletes		ale student- athletes
atmetes injure:	n	%	n	%	n	%
Head/brain (concussion)	130	23.3%	89	20.8%	41	31.3%
Head/superficial (non-concussion						
injury)	20	3.6%	15	3.5%	5	3.8%
Face	46	8.2%	39	9.1%	7	5.3%
Neck	9	1.6%	4	0.9%	5	3.8%
Shoulder	69	12.4%	58	13.6%	11	8.4%
Arm	34	6.1%	23	5.4%	11	8.4%
Elbow	46	8.2%	35	8.2%	11	8.4%
Forearm	63	11.3%	53	12.4%	10	7.6%
Wrist/hand	132	23.7%	106	24.8%	26	19.8%
Thoracic	8	1.4%	6	1.4%	2	1.5%
Lumbar	6	1.1%	5	1.2%	1	0.8%
Abdomen/chest	28	5.0%	23	5.4%	5	3.8%
Pelvis/hip	20	3.6%	13	3.0%	7	5.3%
Thigh	26	4.7%	22	5.2%	4	3.1%
Knee	89	15.9%	66	15.5%	23	17.6%
Leg	30	5.4%	21	4.9%	9	6.9%
Foot/ankle	24	4.3%	17	4.0%	7	5.3%
Other	15	2.7%	13	3.0%	2	1.5%
Total # and % of injuries	795	142.5%	608	142.4%	187	142.7%

Table A2. Student-athlete injuries by body part. The first category, "Head/brain," refers to concussions. The second category, "Head/superficial" refers to other injuries to the head and face, such as abrasions (scrapes), but without a concussion. The total number of injuries (795) is greater than the total number of injury events listed in Table A1 (558) because a single injury event can result in more than one injury.

#### **Student-Athlete Injuries by Body Part**




Figure A3. Bar chart representation of the same information presented in Table A2.

#### **Student-Athlete Injury Diagnosis, Excluding Concussions**

What was the diagnosis?		All student- athletes		Male student- athletes		ale student- athletes
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Contusion	96	17.2%	76	17.8%	20	15.3%
Abrasion	117	21.0%	88	20.6%	29	22.1%
Laceration	101	18.1%	87	20.4%	14	10.7%
Ligament sprain	55	9.9%	44	10.3%	11	8.4%
Muscle/tendon strain	33	5.9%	22	5.2%	11	8.4%
Fracture	152	27.2%	123	28.8%	29	22.1%
Dislocation	17	3.0%	13	3.0%	4	3.1%
Unknown	36	6.5%	28	6.6%	8	6.1%
Other	31	5.6%	24	5.6%	7	5.3%
Total # and % of injuries	638	114.3%	505	118.3%	133	101.5%

Table A3. Number and percentage of student-athlete injuries by type of injury, excluding concussions. There were a high number of relatively mild injuries such as contusion (bruise) and abrasion (scrape). However, there were also a high number of more significant injuries such as fractures (broken bones) and laceration (cut). The total number of diagnoses (638) is greater than the total number of injury events listed in Table A1 (558) because a single injury event can result in more than one type of injury.

#### **Student-Athlete Injury Diagnosis**




Figure A4. Bar chart representation of the same information presented in Table 3: percentage of injury types in male and female student-athletes. The total number of non-concussion diagnoses (638) is greater than the total number of injury events listed in Table A1 (558) because a single injury event can result in more than one type of injury.



#### Injured Student-Athletes Who Were and Were Not Able to Complete the Training Session or Race

Were the student-athletes able to complete the training session or	All student- athletes			student- hletes		e student- hletes
race?	n	%	n	%	n	%
Completed	178	31.9%	131	30.7%	47	35.9%
Did not complete	370	66.3%	289	67.7%	81	61.8%
Total	548	98.2%	420	98.4%	128	97.7%

Table A4. Number and percentage of student-athletes who were and were not able to complete their practice ride or race after a reportable injury. The injury events in which the student-athlete was able to complete the training session or race likely resulted in less severe injuries to the student-athlete compared with the injury events in which the student-athlete was unable to complete the training session or race. The percent of injured student-athletes who could not complete their ride fell from 73.3% in 2021 to 66.3% in 2022.

#### **Mode of Transportation from Injury Site**

How were the student-athletes transported from the site where the	All student- athletes					ale student- athletes
injury occurred?	n	%	n	%	n	%
Ambulance	32	5.7%	24	5.6%	8	6.1%
Helicopter	4	0.7%	3	0.7%	1	0.8%
Private vehicle	10	1.8%	6	1.4%	4	3.1%
ATV	19	3.4%	10	2.3%	9	6.9%
Other	6	1.1%	4	0.9%	2	1.5%
Total	71	12.7%	47	11.0%	24	18.3%

Table A5. Number and percentage of all injured student-athletes who required assisted transport from the site of an injury. Only 12.7% of all injured student-athletes required assisted transportation from the injury site, down from 16.8% in 2021.

#### **Disposition Following Injury**

Disposition following injury	All student- athletes					le student- thletes
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Did not go to emergency room (ER)	258	46.2%	192	45.0%	66	50.4%
Went to ER, then sent home	257	46.1%	201	47.1%	56	42.7%
Went to ER, then admitted to						
hospital	20	3.6%	15	3.5%	5	3.8%
Unknown	23	4.1%	19	4.4%	4	3.1%
Total	558	100.0%	427	100.0%	131	100.0%

Table A6. Disposition of injured student-athletes. About half of all injured student-athletes sought emergency evaluation, but only a small percentage (3.6%) of injured student-athletes required admission to the hospital.

#### **Trail Familiarity**

Was the venue or route familiar to		All student- athletes		Male student- athletes		Female student- athletes	
the injured rider?	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes, the rider had ridden on this							
trail	429	76.9%	327	76.6%	102	77.9%	
No, the rider had not ridden on this							
trail	86	15.4%	68	15.9%	18	13.7%	
N/A or unsure	43	7.7%	32	7.5%	11	8.4%	
Total	558	100.0%	427	100.0%	131	100.0%	

Table A7. Trail familiarity at time of injury event: The majority of injuries (76.9%) occurred on a trail that was familiar to the student-athlete. The high percentage of injury events that occurred on trails with which the student-athlete was familiar may reflect the greater amount of time spent riding on familiar trails, as opposed to time spent riding on unfamiliar trails. Alternatively, these data could indicate that student-athletes take more risk when riding on familiar trails versus unfamiliar trails.

#### **Trail Incline**

What was the trail incline where the injury occurred?		All student- athletes		Male student- athletes		Female student- athletes	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Uphill / ascending	44	7.9%	31	7.3%	13	9.9%	
Flat	175	31.4%	137	32.1%	38	29.0%	
Downhill / descending	297	53.2%	229	53.6%	68	51.9%	
Total	516	92.5%	397	93.0%	119	90.8%	

Table A8. Incline of trail at time of injury: Over one-half (53.2%) of injury events occurred when riding on downhill sections of trail. 31.4% of injury events occurred on flat section of trails, and 7.9% occurred on uphill section.

#### Time Off Due to Injury

Time lost from injury for student- athletes		All student- athletes		Male student- athletes		Female student- athletes	
atmetes	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Less than 1 week	162	35.5%	132	37.0%	30	30.3%	
1-2 weeks	98	21.5%	75	21.0%	23	23.2%	
2-4 weeks	50	11.0%	30	8.4%	20	20.2%	
At least 4 weeks	146	32.0%	120	33.6%	26	26.3%	
Total	456	100.0%	357	100.0%	99	100.0%	

Table A9. Time lost from injury. Over a third (35.5%) of injuries resulted in less than a week off the bike. Nearly as many (32.0%) injuries resulted in more than a month off the bike.

#### **Time Off Due to Injury**




Figure A5. Pie chart representing percentage of time that studentathletes lost from practices and races following an injury event. This pie chart contains the same information as Table A9.

#### **Injury Reporting Access**

Links clicked for student-athletes	All stu	dent-athletes %
NICA website	145	26.0%
Blank field from email	36	6.5%
Unique field from email	377	67.6%
Total	558	100.0%


Table A10. How the injury reporting form was accessed. Completing the injury reporting form directly from the unique student-athlete link in the weekly email is strongly preferred as the student-athlete's demographic information is pre-populated on the form, decreasing the amount of missing and/or inaccurate data. Reporting from the weekly email has increased 21 percentage points since 2018.



#### **Factors Associated with Injury**


Were any of the following external conditions associated with the injury?	All student- athletes		Male student- athletes		Female student- athletes	
conditions associated with the injury:	n	%	n	%	n	%
Injury occurred on a turn	116	20.8%	86	20.1%	30	22.9%
Weather	12	2.2%	8	1.9%	4	3.1%
Passing	49	8.8%	38	8.9%	11	8.4%
Mechanical	9	1.6%	7	1.6%	2	1.5%
Technical nature of trail	155	27.8%	120	28.1%	35	26.7%
Inexperience	109	19.5%	77	18.0%	32	24.4%
Nothing	132	23.7%	103	24.1%	29	22.1%
Loss of concentration	42	7.5%	32	7.5%	10	7.6%
Other	59	10.6%	46	10.8%	13	9.9%

Table A11. Factors that were felt to contribute to the injury event. Technical trails, rider inexperience and negotiating turns were all felt to contribute to injury events. This suggests that improving rider skills could decrease injuries.



#### Injuries by Division in Races versus Practices

Races



**Practices** 





Figure A6a. Figure A6b.

Figures A6 a and b: Student-athlete injuries during races (6a) versus practices (6b), broken out by competition division. Riders in the sophomore division appear to be at higher risk of injury during practices.

#### **Injuries by Body Part in Races versus Practices**

Races



**Practices** 






Figure A7a. Figure A7b.

Figures A7 a and b: Anatomic location of student-athlete injuries during races (7a) versus practices (7b).

#### **Injuries by Trail Incline in Races versus Practices**







Races Practices

Figure A8a. Figure A8b.

Figures A8a and b: Percentage of injuries that occurred on flat, downhill and uphill terrain.

#### **Student-Athlete Non-Concussion Injuries in Races versus Practices**





Figures A9 a and b: Percentage of non-concussion injury diagnoses in races (9a) versus practices (9b)

athlete front tire slid rider lost control front tire washed athlete rear wheel rider front wheel slid rider lost balance front wheel slid left collar bone single track section practicing race starts athlete lost traction front wheel hit athlete front wheel hit athlete front wheel slid landed front wheel slid street lost traction front wheel hit athlete front wheel landed front wheel single track traction steep fire road athlete front wheel landed front wheel landed front wheel landed front wheel single track trail athlete lost control

Figure 10: Word cloud of most common injury mechanisms, taken from coaches' text entry on the injury report form. Note that a high percentage of crashes that result in injury are associated with the athlete losing control of their front tire.

# DATA SECTION B: 2022 COACH INJURY DATA

Introduction: To our knowledge, the NICA Safety Report is the first injury surveillance system that tracks injuries among coaches. Coaches ride with the student-athletes during practices but not during races.

#### **2022 Coach Summary**

2022 coaches summary data	n	%
Total n of coaches Nationwide:	14,222	
Total n of male coaches Nationwide:	10,775	76%
Total n of female coaches Nationwide:	3,447	24%

Table B1. Number and percentage of NICA registered coaches, male coaches, and female coaches.

#### **Coach Injury by Sex**

Coach Injuries by sex	n	%	
n and % of injured coaches	96	0.68%	
Nationwide:	90	0.00%	
n and % of injured male coaches	74	0.69%	
Nationwide:	/4	0.03%	
n and % of injured female coaches	22	0.64%	
Nationwide:		0.64%	

Table B2. Number and percentage of NICA registered male and female coaches who sustained injuries during NICA-sanctioned rides. Overall, the percentage of injuries among coaches was very low. Please note that coaches do not participate in as many NICA sanctioned rides and other activities as student-athletes, and do not race in NICA-sanctioned races. Therefore, coaches' exposure to injury is less than that of student-athletes.



#### **Coach Injuries by Body Part**

Which body part(s) did the coaches	All coaches		Mal	e coaches	Female coaches	
injure?	n	%	n	%	n	%
Head/brain (concussion)	19	19.8%	14	18.9%	5	22.7%
Head/superficial (non-concussion	6					
injury)	0	6.3%	6	8.1%	0	0.0%
Face	6	6.3%	5	6.8%	1	4.5%
Neck	5	5.2%	4	5.4%	1	4.5%
Shoulder	27	28.1%	26	35.1%	1	4.5%
Arm	5	5.2%	4	5.4%	1	4.5%
Elbow	6	6.3%	4	5.4%	2	9.1%
Forearm	7	7.3%	4	5.4%	3	13.6%
Wrist/hand	18	18.8%	13	17.6%	5	22.7%
Thoracic	2	2.1%	1	1.4%	1	4.5%
Lumbar	2	2.1%	1	1.4%	1	4.5%
Abdomen/chest	15	15.6%	12	16.2%	3	13.6%
Pelvis/hip	2	2.1%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%
Thigh	2	2.1%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%
Knee	6	6.3%	3	4.1%	3	13.6%
Leg	6	6.3%	5	6.8%	1	4.5%
Foot/ankle	8	8.3%	6	8.1%	2	9.1%
Other	4	4.2%	4	5.4%	0	0.0%
Total	146	152.1%	116	156.8%	30	136.4%

Table B3. Coach injuries by body part. The first category, "Head/brain," refers to concussions. The second category, "Head/superficial" refers to other injuries to the head and face, such as abrasions (scrapes), but without a concussion. The total number of injuries (146) is greater than the total number of injury events listed in Table B2 (96) because a single injury event can result in more than one injury. For the same reason, the total percentage of injuries is greater than 100%.

#### **Coach Injury Diagnosis, Excluding Concussions**

Coden injury biagnosis, Excluding Concussions						
What was the diagnosis?	All	coaches	Male coaches		Female coaches	
willat was the diagnosis:	n	%	n	%	n	%
Contusion	15	15.6%	11	14.9%	4	18.2%
Abrasion	12	12.5%	12	16.2%	0	0.0%
Laceration	11	11.5%	9	12.2%	2	9.1%
Ligament sprain	9	9.4%	6	8.1%	3	13.6%
Muscle/tendon strain	5	5.2%	5	6.8%	0	0.0%
Fracture	32	33.3%	22	29.7%	10	45.5%
Dislocation	13	13.5%	12	16.2%	1	4.5%
Unknown	8	8.3%	7	9.5%	1	4.5%
Other	5	5.2%	4	5.4%	1	4.5%
Total	110	114.6%	88	118.9%	22	100.0%

Table B4. Number and percentage of coach injuries by type of injury, excluding concussions. There were a moderate amount of relatively mild injuries such as contusions (bruises) and abrasions (scrapes). However, there were a high number of more significant injuries such as fractures (broken bones), dislocations (displaced joints) and ligament sprains. The total number of diagnoses (110) was greater than the total number of injury events listed in Table B2 (96) as a single injury event can result in more than one type of injury.




Figure B1. Non-concussion coach injuries by diagnosis.

#### **Mode of Transportation From Injury Site**

How were the coaches transported from the site where the injury	All coaches		Male coaches		Female coaches	
occurred?	n	%	n	%	n	%
Ambulance	7	7.3%	4	5.4%	3	13.6%
Helicopter	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Private vehicle	2	2.1%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%
ATV	2	2.1%	2	2.7%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	11	11.5%	8	10.8%	3	13.6%

Table B5. Number and percentage of all coaches who required transport from the site of an injury. 11.5% of all injured coaches required assisted transportation from the injury site, a decrease from 19.5% in 2021.

#### **Disposition Following Injury**

Disposition following injury	А	All coaches		Male coaches		nale coaches
Disposition following injury	n	%	n	%	n	%
Did not go to emergency room (ER)	42	43.8%	31	41.9%	11	50.0%
Went to ER, then sent home	37	38.5%	30	40.5%	7	31.8%
Went to ER, then admitted to						
hospital	11	11.5%	7	9.5%	4	18.2%
Unknown	5	5.2%	5	6.8%	0	0.0%
Total	95	99.0%	73	98.6%	22	100.0%

Table B6. Disposition of injured coaches. One-half (50.0%) of all injured coaches sought evaluation in an emergency room, decreased from 62% in 2021. 11.5% of all injuries sustained by coaches required admission to a hospital for their injury.

#### **Trail Incline**

What was the trail incline where the	All coaches		Male coaches		Female coaches	
injury occurred?	n	%	n	%	n	%
Uphill / ascending	9	9.4%	7	9.5%	2	9.1%
Flat	29	30.2%	22	29.7%	7	31.8%
Downhill / descending	52	54.2%	39	52.7%	13	59.1%
Total	90	93.8%	68	91.9%	22	100.0%

Table B7. Incline of trail at time of injury: 54.2% of coaches' injury events occurred when riding downhill. Nearly a third (30.2%) of injury events occurred on flat section of trails.

#### **Time Off Due to Injury**

Time lost from injury for coaches	P	All coaches				
Time lost from injury for coaches	n	%				
Less than 1 week	20	27.8%				
1-2 weeks	5	6.9%				
2-4 weeks	10	13.9%				
At least 4 weeks	37	51.4%				
Total	72	100.0%				

Table B8. Time lost from injury for coaches. Time-lost data was available for 70 of 87 coach injury events. 27.8% of injuries resulted in less than 1 week off the bike, increased from 20% in 2021. 51.4% of injuries resulted in at least 4 weeks off the bike, decreased from 55.7% in 2021.

#### **Time Off Due to Injury**




Figure B2. Pie chart representing percentages of time that coaches lost from riding following an injury event. This is the same data as in Table B8

## **LIMITATIONS**

As with all sports epidemiology endeavors, the NICA Safety Report is not without limitations. It is necessary to understand the limitations in order to appropriately interpret the data. It is also necessary to find ways to decrease these limitations in order to lessen the impact of the limitations on data analysis and improve the value of the data.

One primary limitation is that the information entered into the Safety Report is not usually entered by medical personnel, but rather by each team's Designated Reporter, who may or may not have medical training. The Safety Report does not collect primary source clinical documentation such as a physician's clinic notes and x-ray reports. However, the Designated Reporter who is entering the information into the injury report form is instructed to consult with the student-athlete, the student-athlete's parents/guardians, and others so that they have the best information possible about the circumstances of the injury. A key component of the Safety Report is that the electronic injury reporting form allows the Designated Reporter to return to the injury form and update it at a later time as they obtain additional information about the injury and its outcome. In the first five years of data collection (2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022), the information entered into the database regarding injuries was deemed to be of high quality, despite not having primary source medical documentation.

A second important limitation involves the challenges of obtaining exposure data. Exposure is a very important concept in sports epidemiology. A basic definition of exposure is the amount that an athlete is exposed to risk. Exposure can be estimated in different ways. In mountain biking, exposure can be a count of the number of practices and races, or the amount of time that student-athletes are on their bikes, or the distance ridden in a given period of time. For practical reasons, the simplest estimate of exposure for the purposes of the NICA Safety Report is estimated as the number of student-athletes at each NICA sanctioned practice and race. This information is supposed to be provided by a team designee such as the team director and entered into the system by the Designated Reporter. Unfortunately, during the first five years of data collection (2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022), compliance with reporting exposure information was not adequate for use in the data analyses. NICA and its partners are actively working with all stakeholders to improve the capture of exposure information. Without adequate exposure data, the NICA Safety Report will remain somewhat limited in its ability to draw firm conclusions about when and why injuries are occurring, and how to decrease the risk of injury.

A third limitation involves the challenges of communicating and coordinating with hundreds of teams and tens of thousands of student-athletes and coaches across the country. The NICA Safety Report will be most effective if all stakeholders understand the importance of contributing to the effort. NICA will continue to have regular communications with all stakeholders and will post reports such as this one on the NICA Safety Report web page.

Another limitation is that the NICA Safety Report currently focuses on acute traumatic injuries. This is because acute injuries are by far the most common type of injury sustained in mountain biking. Depending on the results of data analysis, it is possible that an additional focus on insidious onset, overuse injuries will be added in the future. It is also possible that additional questions regarding medical illness in mountain biking will also be added in the future.

As with all large injury surveillance systems, there are some incomplete fields in the database. These missing data are primarily the result of the manner in which the designated reporters complete the injury incident forms. Ongoing communications with the Designated Reporters should decrease the number of missing fields.

Finally, the NICA Safety Report is limited by time, money and brainpower. The sports medicine researchers at the University of Utah have been volunteering their time and expertise in order to find ways to make the sport safer. NICA and its collaborators continually seek research grant funding and other funding to support this important project.

# SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Thanks to the hard work of many individuals, the first five years of data collection for the NICA Safety Report have been a tremendous success. The NICA Safety Report is the largest and most comprehensive mountain biking injury surveillance system in the world. It is also the first injury surveillance system to track injuries among coaches. With the knowledge gained from this report, NICA is leading the way in efforts to make the great sport of mountain biking safer, and to protect the health of the student-athletes and all others involved in this sport. Over the upcoming years, NICA and its partners will be pursuing the following steps for the NICA Safety Report:

- Continue to communicate with all stakeholders about the importance of the project and the importance of obtaining quality exposure and injury data;
- Share Safety Study data with First Aid Training Partners to improve the focus of all first aid training for NICA coaches;
- Solicit feedback from stakeholders and safety experts for more insights on how to improve the effectiveness of the project;
- Continue to post reports of findings to the NICA Safety Report web page;
- Review and improve the web-based injury reporting form;
- Carry out sub-analyses of the 2018-2022 data;
- Pursue increased compliance with reporting of exposure data;
- Pursue research grant funding and other sources of funding to support the project;
- Start planning future safety interventions;



The NICA
Safety Report is
the largest and
most
comprehensive
mountain
bike injury
surveillance
system in the
world.

#### **FUN - NICA** inspires friendship, joy, and adventure.

INCLUSIVITY - NICA believes everyone should be able to participate in our programs and feel welcomed, respected, and supported.

EQUITY - NICA is committed to fair treatment, equal access, opportunity, advancement, and elimination of barriers to encourage participation for all.

RESPECT - NICA expects consideration for all others, oneself, and the outdoors.

COMMUNITY - NICA unites diverse people, families, and communities through cycling by creating fun and welcoming experiences



# **Endnotes:**

1. For the purposes of this report, the term "concussion" generally refers to a sports concussion, or a mild traumatic brain injury that occurs as the result of sports participation. However, there were a very small number of concussions that occurred that were more than mild. At the present time, the NICA Safety Report does not have the ability to assess the severity of these injuries. For questions regarding the use of the term concussion, please contact the NICA Director of Risk Management and Training.

For the purposes of this report, the term "activity" is an inclusive term that covers all NICA sanctioned activities that participants are involved with, including NICA sanctioned races, team practices on mountain biking trails, team practices on dirt or paved roads, skills training for student-athletes and coaches, and other activities during which a mountain biking injury might occur, such as Grit rides and "Adventure Days."

For information on injury epidemiology in other high school sports, see: http://www.ucdenver.edu/academics/colleges/PublicHealth/research/ ResearchProjects/piper/projects/RIO/Documents





National Interscholastic Cycling Association 2414 Sixth Street Berkeley, CA 94710

Tel: 510-524-5464 Fax: 510-743-4207 E: info@nationalmtb.org.





Photo credit: Special thanks to Utah NICA Leagues





